

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.msyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,912	12/08/2000	Eric Allan Bier	A0839-US-NP	1180	
47574 7590 1097/2011 SOO UNION STREET SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, WA 98101			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHAU T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
omining,	EXTINE, WIT SOLOT		2177		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2011	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/731,912	BIER, ERIC ALLAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHAU NGUYEN	2177	

Bololo the Fining of an Appear Bilot	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHAU NGUYEN	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	pply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request intinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time					
	he period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE N	FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purpose of determining the period avoid under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  a They raise new issues that would require further core b They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w);	ΓE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or      (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a center of the present additional claims without canceling a center of the present additional claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted ciairis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Wewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ol>						
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	0.45.50					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-13,15-16,18-21,23-34,37-38,41-4 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>2,45,52</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. \(\overline{\text{M}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\sigma_{\text{see}} \text{Continuation Sheet.}\)						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:						
	/Chau Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2177				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in the Final Rejection dated 07/28/2011, the claimed invention is not both novel and unobvious.